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The knowledge of potential complications after surgical removal of third molars and adequate risk assessment is indispensable in oral surgery. The present retrospective study analyzed the influence of different parameters, such as the patient’s age and gender, retention type, and radiological projection (using orthopantomography) of wisdom teeth on the mandibular canal on postoperative complications after the removal of 1,199 wisdom teeth. Overall, 101 (8.4%) complications occurred: 50 cases of alveolar osteitis (4.2%), 12 temporary (1%) and 6 persistent (0.5%) sensation disorders, 15 abscesses (1.25%), 7 dehiscences (0.6%), 5 cases of post-operative bleeding (0.4%), 4 sequestra (0.32%), 1 fistula (0.08%) and 1 hematoma (0.08%). The risk for developing alveolar osteitis was 6% for patients who suffered from a previous pericoronal infection and was higher for female than male patients. Smoking showed no influence on alveolar osteitis. A significant correlation (p < 0.0001) could be shown between the radiological projection of wisdom teeth on the mandibular canal and post-operative sensation disorders. The experience of the surgeon and pre-operative 3-dimensional imaging (cone-beam computed tomography, computed tomography) did not reduce this risk. No correlation was found for patient’s age and gender. In conclusion, the surgical decision to remove wisdom teeth must be made with caution in cases of complete radiological projection of the wisdom tooth on the mandibular canal.

SUMMARY

The most common complications after surgical removal of third molars and adequate risk assessment is indispensable in oral surgery. The present retrospective study analyzed the influence of different parameters, such as the patient’s age and gender, retention type, and radiological projection (using orthopantomography) of wisdom teeth on the mandibular canal on postoperative complications after the removal of 1,199 wisdom teeth. Overall, 101 (8.4%) complications occurred: 50 cases of alveolar osteitis (4.2%), 12 temporary (1%) and 6 persistent (0.5%) sensation disorders, 15 abscesses (1.25%), 7 dehiscences (0.6%), 5 cases of post-operative bleeding (0.4%), 4 sequestra (0.32%), 1 fistula (0.08%) and 1 hematoma (0.08%). The risk for developing alveolar osteitis was 6% for patients who suffered from a previous pericoronal infection and was higher for female than male patients. Smoking showed no influence on alveolar osteitis. A significant correlation (p < 0.0001) could be shown between the radiological projection of wisdom teeth on the mandibular canal and post-operative sensation disorders. The experience of the surgeon and pre-operative 3-dimensional imaging (cone-beam computed tomography, computed tomography) did not reduce this risk. No correlation was found for patient’s age and gender. In conclusion, the surgical decision to remove wisdom teeth must be made with caution in cases of complete radiological projection of the wisdom tooth on the mandibular canal.

Introduction

The removal of mandibular wisdom teeth – both erupted and retained – is one of the most common oral surgical procedures in the dental practice. Compared to a simple tooth extraction, however, the dentist must first perform more comprehensive pre-operative diagnostics using panoramic radiography and in some cases even cone-beam computer tomography (CBCT). The position of the wisdom tooth and the relationship to the mandibular canal must be known pre-operatively in order to assess the risk of complications. With this knowledge, a risk-benefit analysis and optimal patient information can be conducted if surgery is indicated. A detailed overview of indications and contraindications for third-molar removal is given by Jaquiey et al. (1994). In daily practice, the classification of the respective tooth by degree of difficulty into simple, advanced, and complex (SAC) has become established (Sailer & Pajarola 1996).

Regardless of the operative indication and degree of difficulty, the dentist should be familiar with the possible compli-
cations after wisdom-teeth removal and their frequency. However, most studies have only considered complications associated with surgical removals (Osborn et al. 1985, Black 1997, Arrigoni & Lambrecht 2004, Voegelin et al. 2008).

Thus, the aim of this study was to examine the type and frequency of complications after simple extractions and surgical removal of wisdom teeth. A total of 1,199 removed/extracted mandibular teeth were evaluated, including the influence of factors such as age, sex, retention type, and radiological projection (superimposition). The rate of complications was presented according to the SAC class of the removed/extracted tooth in order to determine whether a complex operation was associated with an increased risk. In addition, the possible relationship between the occurrence of temporary or permanently impaired sensation and the retention type as well as the radiological projection of the tooth on the mandibular canal was examined.

Materials and Methods
In 2004, 1,199 mandibular third molars in 1,001 patients were extracted or surgically removed under local anesthesia at the Policlinic for Oral Surgery at the University of Zürich. At that time, the sockets of all operatively extracted mandibular teeth were evaluated, including the influence of factors such as age, sex, retention type, and radiological projection (superimposition). The rate of complications was presented according to the SAC class of the removed/extracted tooth in order to determine whether a complex operation was associated with an increased risk. In addition, the possible relationship between the occurrence of temporary or permanently impaired sensation and the retention type as well as the radiological projection of the tooth on the mandibular canal was examined.

Results
Of 1,199 third molars, 569 (47.5%) were in the right mandible and 630 (52.5%) in the left. Overall, wisdom teeth were removed/extracted more frequently in men (54.5%) than in women (45.5%). The average age at the time of the operation was 29 ± 12 years. Most of the teeth were removed prophylactically upon referral from a dentist or orthodontist in private practice. The rest were removed due to caries, impaired eruption (pericoronitis/abscess), cysts, and other reasons, e.g., as part of focal rehabilitation in tumor patients.

Table I shows the respective retention types I–VII relative to the degrees of difficulty (SAC) according to Sailer & Pajarola (1996). It was possible to classify 877 teeth. 210 teeth were not retained.

Radiological projection onto the mandibular canal was observed in 1,085 teeth. 387 (35.7%) teeth exhibited no such radiological projection, 355 (32.7%) were projected on the superior border, 179 (16.5%) were projected over half of the mandibular canal, and 120 (11%) did so completely. In 44 (4.1%) teeth, the root apices were farther to the caudal than the inferior border of the mandibular canal, and CTs were performed in 21 cases for definitive diagnosis. A total of 34 CTs were done.

A total of 101 (8.4%) complications arose in the 1,199 removed/extracted wisdom teeth. The most frequent complication was alveolar osteitis (n = 50, 4.2%), followed by temporary (n = 12, 1%) and permanent (n = 6, 0.5%) sensation disorders, abscesses (n = 15, 1.3%), dehiscence (n = 7, 0.6%), post-operative bleeding (n = 5, 0.4%) and other complications, such as formation of a sequestrum (n = 4, 0.32%), fistula (n = 1, 0.08%) or hematoma (n = 1, 0.08%).

The risk of developing alveolar osteitis was relatively high (6%) in patients with pre-existing pericoronitis and was more common in women than men. No association between smoking and developing alveolar osteitis was found. The development of an abscess was most frequent in patients with pre-existing pain due to caries, pulpitis or apical periodontitis.

Temporary or permanent sensation disorders of the inferior alveolar nerve occurred more commonly (n = 15, 1.25%) than:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>relF SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>tooth bud, crown formed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>tooth tipped to mesial</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type V</td>
<td>tooth tipped to distal</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type VI</td>
<td>tooth turned perpendicular to alveolar to alveolar process</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type VII</td>
<td>highly aberrant tooth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S = simple, A = advanced, C = complex, relF SD = relative frequency of sensation disorder (in %)
those of the lingual nerve (n = 3, 0.25%). The left side was affected more frequently than the right, and in terms of the number of teeth removed and gender, more sensation disorders occurred in women (1.8%) than in men (1.2%). However, these differences were not statistically significant. Similarly, the patient’s age and the retention type (I–VII) also had no influence on the development of a sensation disorder. Table II presents an overview of the affected nerves, type of damage and progression of the disorder (temporary or permanent).

The occurrence of sensation disorders was statistically significantly higher (p < 0.0001) after removal of wisdom teeth whose OPG showed radiological projection on the inferior border of the mandibular canal. Figure 1 shows the relative frequency of a sensation disorder of the inferior alveolar nerve depending on root position/superimposition relative to the nerve. In the present study, no relationship between smoking as a possible influencing factor and wound-healing disorders was observed. In contrast, Arrigoni & Lamprecht (2004) reported an increased risk of infection in the fourth quadrant in patients who smoked.

In terms of progression, of the 18 (1.5%) sensation disorders of the inferior alveolar nerve or the lingual nerve, 12 (1%) were temporary and 6 (0.5%) were permanent. In 4 (0.35%) patients, it was not possible to follow the progression after the first post-operative follow-up exam, since they did not attend further recall appointments. In these cases, the sensation disorder was presumed to be temporary.

### Discussion

In this study, the overall complication rate after the removal of mandibular wisdom teeth was 8.4%, which agrees with the frequencies of 4.3% to 9.1% mentioned in the literature (Chiapasco et al. 1995, Lopes et al. 1995, Bui et al. 2003, Blondeau & Daniel 2007).

The most frequent complication was alveolar osteitis (3.9%). In comparable studies, the alveolar osteitis rates were 2.6% (Arrigoni & Lamprecht 2004), 2.7% (Lopes et al. 1995), 3.6% (Blondeau & Daniel 2007) and 4.2% (Voegelin et al. 2008). In the present study, no relationship between smoking as a possible influencing factor and wound-healing disorders was observed. In contrast, Arrigoni & Lamprecht (2004) reported an increased risk of infection in the fourth quadrant in patients who smoked.

The second most common complication (1.5%) was a post-operative temporary or permanent sensation disorder. In the literature, the frequency of this complication varies between 0.6% (Osborn et al. 1985) and 14.1% (Lopes et al. 1995). Thus, the present results fall within the lower end of this range and are comparable with those of Saxer (1992), who evaluated the complication rate after removal of 1,342 mandibular third molars and found a 2.45% rate of sensation disorders. Table III shows the results of the present study compared with those of other authors.

The literature mentions various risk factors (age, local anesthetic, radiological signs, etc.) for sensation disorders, some of which are contentiously discussed. To date, there is no consensus on whether or not a correlation exists between a patient’s age and developing a sensation disorder. In the present study, similar to Brann et al. (1999) and Rehman et al. (2002), no correlation between age and occurrence of a sensation disorder was found. However, other studies did observe such a relationship (Gülicher & Gerlach 2000, Valmaseda-Castellón et al. 2001). Chiapasco et al. (1995) sought to determine the age after which the risk of complications from wisdom-tooth removal increases and found it to be 25 years. This was confirmed by

---

**Tab. II**  Type and frequency of post-operative sensation disorder (n=1,199)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>NAI</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>n (ri/le)</th>
<th>t/p</th>
<th>n (ri/le)</th>
<th>t/p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>2 (1/1)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypesthesia</td>
<td>12 (4/8)</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paresthesia</td>
<td>2 (1/1)</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>1 (0/1)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperesthesia</td>
<td>1 (1/0)</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>15 (6/9)</td>
<td>11/4</td>
<td>3 (1/2)</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAI = N. alveolaris inferior, NL = N. lingualis, t = temporary, p = permanent

---
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other authors (Arrigoni & Lambrechts 2004, Kunkel et al. 2006, Blondeau & Daniel 2007, Voegelin et al. 2008). Based on these results, prophylactic removal of third molars should be performed between the ages of 17 and 24.

Besides the patient’s age, meticulous radiological planning using OPG and, if necessary, CBCT is important for the successful removal of wisdom teeth. Using these imaging techniques, the position of the wisdom tooth – also in relation to the mandibular canal – must be analyzed. The significant correlation (p < 0.0001) of the occurrence of post-operative sensation disorders with third molars radiologically shown to be superimposed on the inferior border of the mandibular canal emphasizes the importance of this analysis. The indication for CBCT is clearly given in this situation, since the spatial relationship between the tooth and the mandibular canal cannot be interpreted in two dimensions with an uncertainty (Flygare & Ohman 2008) but is critical (Kunkel et al. 2006). Routine CBCT or CT is not necessary, because in slightly displaced teeth, the therapeutic benefit is likewise slight (Better et al. 2004). In the present study, OPGs showed 164 wisdom teeth to be completely superimposed on the mandibular canal. A CT was done in just 31 cases, and 133 radiologically superimposed wisdom teeth were removed without first performing a CT. It should be mentioned that while conducting the study in 2004, CBCT was not yet available. Interestingly, only 2 cases (1.5%) in the group without CT had a sensation disorder, but 5 in the group with CT did so (16.1%). This confirms that despite CT or CBCT imaging and experienced operators, nerve damage cannot be completely prevented. Thus, given complete projection of the tooth on the mandibular canal, the indication for removal must be particularly strict.

To improve risk assessment, many authors have attempted to find radiological signs on the OPG which accompany increased risk of nerve damage (Rood et al. 1990, Blaesser et al. 2003, Renton et al. 2005). However, the present study could not confirm the seven radiological signs defined in the oft-cited and well-known study by Rood & Shehab (1990) as an influencing factor. Nevertheless, where these 7 criteria were absent after third-molar removal, the inferior alveolar nerve was rarely exposed (Sedaghatfar et al. 2005). It is noteworthy that when the mandibular canal is opened and the nerve exposed, sensation disorders occur only rarely (Gülicher & Gerlach 2000, Tay & Go 2004). In the current study, an exposed inferior alveolar nerve was explicitly described in only 6 of 15 cases of sensation disorder of the inferior alveolar nerve.

There is also some discussion about the influence of the local anesthetic and the anesthetization itself in sensation disorders. Currently, the literature shows that the use of articaine 4% and prilocaine as well as analog sedation or general anesthesia for wisdom-tooth removal markedly increases the risk of nerve damage (Braun et al. 1999, Gülicher & Gerlach 2000, Hillerup & Jensen 2006, Pogrel 2012). In the present study, two patients developed a temporary sensation disorder, although their wisdom teeth had exhibited no radiological projection on the inferior alveolar nerve. Hence, anesthesia cannot be ruled out as an influencing factor in these cases.

Other complications, such as abscesses and their sequelae or post-operative bleeding, were relatively infrequent. The risk of wound infection largely depends on pre-operative oral hygiene, the type of wound closure, and the patient’s post-operative behavior. Open wound management including placing an iodine–vaseline drain results in markedly fewer infections than closed wound management (Sailer & Pajarola 1996). However, due to the longer post-operative treatment period, the former procedure is no longer recommended. For this reason, today the Policlinic for Oral Surgery uses half-open wound management and places a short iodine-vaseline drain (Jaquery et al. 1994). Pre-operative intraoral bacterial reduction by rinsing with chlorhexidine 0.12% for 2 minutes statistically significantly diminishes the risk of wound infection (Hermesch et al. 1998, Vezeau 2000, Caso et al. 2005), but perioral disinfection of the lips and facial skin provides no advantage (Lokota 1991). In the dental practice, the radiographs/CTs should first be carefully examined, then the wisdom teeth assigned to the ap-

### Tab. III  Frequency of sensation disorder compared to literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>NAI (%)</th>
<th>NL (%)</th>
<th>NAI + NL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>t/p</td>
<td></td>
<td>t/p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neumann (2003)</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>0.23 (-/-)</td>
<td>0.23 (-/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborn (1985)</td>
<td>1,6127</td>
<td>- (-/-)</td>
<td>- (-/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrigoni (2004)</td>
<td>2,384</td>
<td>0.71 (0.71/0)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.21/0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study (2004)</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>1.25 (0.9/0.35)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.1/0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (1997)</td>
<td>3,848</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2/-)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.9/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxer (1992)</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>1.7 (1.7/-)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.75/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gülicher (2000)</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>4.48 (3.57/0.91)</td>
<td>2.47 (2.1/0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batineh (2001)</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>3.9 (3.9/-)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.6/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerjes (2006)</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>4.8 (4.1/0.7)</td>
<td>7.5 (6.5/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brann (1999)</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>- (-/-)</td>
<td>- (-/-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes (1995)</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>8.4 (8.4/-)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.7/-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAI = N. alveolaris inferior, NL = N. lingualis, t = temporary, p = permanent, - = no data.
propriate SAC class. In surgically difficult situations, the operator should realistically assess his/her own surgical competence and, if necessary, refer the patient to an oral surgeon or oral maxillofacial surgeon.

Résumé
En chirurgie orale, il est indispensable de connaître les éventuelles complications suivant l’extraction chirurgicale de l’implant dentaire et de pouvoir en évaluer les risques. La présente étude a permis d’évaluer l’influence de l’âge et du sexe du patient, du type de rétention et du chevauchement radiologique de la dent avec le canal mandibulaire sur les complications postopératoires de l’extraction de 1199 dents de sagesse. Au total, 101 (8,4%) complications ont été constatées: 50 alvéolites (4,2%), 12 troubles temporaires (1%) et 6 troubles permanents de la sensibilité (0,5%), 15 abcès (1,25%), 7 déhiscences (0,6%), 5 hémorragies (0,4%), 4 séquestres (0,32%), 1 fistule (0,08%) et 1 hématome (0,08%). Les femmes ainsi que les personnes ayant souffert d’une péricoronite présentent un risque plus élevé de développer une alvéolite, alors que le tabagisme n’a montré aucune influence. Une corrélation significative (p < 0,0001) a pu être établie entre une projection radiologique de la dent de sagesse au-delà de la partie inférieure du canal mandibulaire sur l’OPT et les troubles de la sensibilité. Aucune corrélation n’a été établie par rapport à l’âge et au sexe du patient. Par conséquent, lors d’une planification d’extraction d’une dent de sagesse présentant une projection radiologique sur l’OPT au-delà du canal mandibulaire inférieur, il est impératif de discuter avec le patient des risques plus élevés d’une lésion du nerf et des troubles de la sensibilité.
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